

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs of California's Energy Utilities.

Southern California Edison Company's (U 338-E) Application for Approval of SCE's "Change A Light, Change The World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program.

R.07-01-042
(January 25, 2007)

A.07-05-010
(Filed May 10, 2007)

**GREENLINING'S AMENDED COMMENTS ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S
SEPTEMBER 27, 2007, RULING SEEKING COMMENTS ON ISSUES RAISED IN THE KEMA
NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT AND ON NATURAL GAS APPLIANCE TESTING ISSUES**

THALIA N.C. GONZALEZ
JESSE RASKIN
The Greenlining Institute
1918 University Avenue, Second Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
Telephone: 510 926 4002
Facsimile: 510 926 4010
E-mail: thaliag@greenlining.org

October 26, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs of California's Energy Utilities.

R.07-01-042
(January 25, 2007)

Southern California Edison Company's (U 338-E) Application for Approval of SCE's "Change A Light, Change The World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program.

A.07-05-010
(Filed May 10, 2007)

GREENLINING'S AMENDED COMMENTS ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S SEPTEMBER 27, 2007, RULING SEEKING COMMENTS ON ISSUES RAISED IN THE KEMA NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT AND ON NATURAL GAS APPLIANCE TESTING ISSUES

I. INTRODUCTION: TO TRULY BE EFFECTIVE, THE CPUC AND THE UTILITIES SERVING CALIFORNIA MUST DESIGN ALL LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS TO MEET THE ECONOMIC REALITIES FACING LOW-INCOME AND MINORITY COMMUNITIES

*"The CPUC, a public agency, cannot effectively serve low income communities without participation from the residents of these communities or organizations which represent them."*¹

The Greenlining Institute ("Greenlining") respectfully submits the following comments to the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission" or "CPUC") concerning the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Seeking Comments on Issues Raised in the KEMA Final Report on Phase 2 Low Income Needs Assessment ("KEMA Report").² At this time, Greenlining respectfully declines to comment on the Natural Gas Appliance Testing ("NGAT") issues.

Greenlining applauds the Commission's efforts to improve the Low Income Energy Efficiency ("LIEE") programs. Greenlining believes this is a commendable step towards developing a truly effective and inclusive statewide low income energy efficiency program. However, it is only a first step.

¹ Len Canty, President and CEO of the Black Economic Council, October 22, 2007.

² See KEMA's Final Report on Phase 2 Low Income Needs Assessment. Dated September 7, 2007.

Greenlining submits that for the LIEE program, as a whole, to reach its environmental *and* economic development potential, it must be formulated with a keen awareness of the economic realities facing the 70% of Californians living paycheck-to-paycheck. Specifically, many low-income and minority individuals (and families) simply have no choice, but to prioritize their everyday needs such as food, housing, and clothing over secondary goals like environmental stewardship.

Given this reality, Greenlining strongly submits that no LIEE program should be approved unless the Commission and utilities can answer the following question in the affirmative: *“Does this program provide easy and ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority consumers?”*

II. DISCUSSION: RECOMMENDATIONS ADDRESSING THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS RAISED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE CONCERNING THE KEMA REPORT

1. What strategies would achieve higher participation in areas where there do not appear to be any unique challenges to expanding participation?

To achieve higher LIEE program participation, Greenlining recommends that the utilities formulate all low-income energy efficiency initiatives with the specific goal of providing easy and ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers. For example, the utilities must:

- Provide all marketing, education, and outreach materials for LIEE programs in-language.
- Distribute marketing, outreach, and education materials in locations readily available to California’s low-income and minority communities. For example: United States Post Offices, Social Security Offices, public K-12 schools, community colleges, community based organizations, faith based organizations, etc.
- Distribute marketing, outreach, and education materials along with information sent out by other government agencies like Medical, Medicare, Social Security, Housing and Urban Development, the California Franchise Tax Board, etc.
- Make it simpler for households who are potentially eligible for LIEE to contact their utility company. For example, utilities could include a “tear-away” portion at the bottom of every energy bill with a “check-box” where a customer could indicate interest in participating in LIEE programs.

- Provide live or telephonic customer service in-language.
- Conduct in-language customer service visits to low-income homes across California.
- Provide easy to understand and readily accessible in-language LIEE program information and application forms online.
- Increase the economic benefits of LIEE programs.
- Inform low-income ratepayers of the practical incentives of participation in LIEE programs.
- Provide LIEE participation incentives to landlords who provide housing to low-income and minority communities.
- Modify the LIEE program eligibility requirements so that renters, as well as homeowners, are eligible for LIEE program initiatives.
- Provide in-language training materials with easily understood written and visual directions regarding the effective use of energy efficiency technologies.
- Increase access to training and education programs designed to encourage the use of energy efficient technologies.
- Develop and increase the capacity of local Community Based Organizations (“CBOs”) and Faith Based Organizations (“FBOs”) to educate and train their communities on the use of energy efficient technologies.
- Partner with CBOs and FBOs to redesign low-income energy efficiency marketing, outreach, and education programs to ensure their cultural competency.
- Partner with CBOs and FBOs to locate eligible participants of low-income energy efficiency programs and to develop marketing, education, and outreach strategies designed specifically to engage California’s low-income and minority communities.
- Partner with CBOs and FBOs to install energy efficiency technologies in low-income and minority communities.

Further, Greenlining recommends that all utilities review and adopt the best marketing, outreach, and education practices of other California utilities.³ For example Greenlining strongly supports Southern

³ For example, Southern California Edison (“SCE”) relies on home-to-home canvassing, categorical eligibility standards, and the use of census based demographic information to increase LIEE participation and penetration. San Diego Gas and Electric Company (“SDG&E”) and Southern California Gas (“SoCalGas”) rely on multiple methods

California Edison’s (“SCE”) recommendations of: (1) using a “grassroots approach to marketing” including an “informational campaign to make households aware of the benefits of [LIEE programs] and how to participate in the programs, (2) conducting LIEE outreach in conjunction with other social service programs operated by CBOs and FBOs, and (3) producing and distributing a wide variety of printed and electronic informational materials.⁴

Greenlining echoes Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (“PG&E”) observation that “[t]raditionally, LIEE program participation has been constrained by LIEE budget and infrastructure.” Consistent with this observation, Greenlining recommends the Commission and the utilities jointly fund an independently conducted market analysis to determine the funding required to achieve 100% LIEE program participation. Moreover, Greenlining also recommend that the Commission and the utilities jointly fund the LIEE program at the level recommended by the market analysis. Greenlining also recommends that the Commission explore the possibility of creating statewide categorical eligibility standards encompassing the CARE, LIEE, and California Solar Initiative (“CSI”) programs.⁵

Lastly, Greenlining recommends the Commission create a “Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs Office.” This office would set statewide standards for determining whether households are eligible for LIEE, CARE, or CSI programs and would also house all LIEE, CARE, and CSI ratepayer data. The creation of such an office would greatly simplify LIEE program enrollment and outreach, in the following ways.

including door-to-door canvassing, direct mail, self-certification, web based applications, a dedicated LIEE outreach email address, and partnerships with local government agencies and CBOs.

⁴ See Southern California Edison Company’s (U 338-E) Comments on the Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Seeking Comments on Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 7 - 12, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010. See also Comments of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates Seeking Comments on the Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 6, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010 (“The Commission must provide an extensive effort to increase general awareness of both [CARE and LIEE] programs amongst the California low-income population through a continual aggressive marketing campaign.”)

⁵ For an explanation of categorical eligibility, see Southern California Edison Company’s (U 338-E) Comments on the Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Seeking Comments on Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 12, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

First, this office would provide “one-stop enrollment” for all Californians interested in participating in LIEE programs. Second, the office would house a database of all LIEE eligible persons statewide. Such a database would allow utilities to search for all LIEE eligible persons residing in their service territory. Third, ratepayers would not have to reapply for LIEE programs if they moved from one service territory to another in California. Greenlining believes this would allow for a seamless LIEE enrollment. Further, centralization of all LIEE programs has the potential to increase LIEE penetration and retention rates by keeping LIEE eligible persons in programs as they move within California.

2. Should the LIEE program target specific types of households, e.g., African-American households or large households? If so, what strategies should the utilities use to target identified households?

Greenlining recommends that the utilities focus all low-income energy efficiency initiatives, including the identification of LIEE eligible households, around the goal of providing easy and ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers. To that end, Greenlining concurs with the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (“DRA”), that increased participation in LIEE programs requires increasing public awareness of LIEE programs and their benefits, throughout the state.⁶

To increase awareness, Greenlining submits that each utility should adapt its LIEE marketing, education, and outreach programs to target the low-income ratepayers within the utility’s service territory. Rather than target customers by ethnic or geographic location, the utilities ought to design their marketing, education, and outreach programs to target all LIEE eligible households in their service territories. To target these households, Greenlining recommends that all utilities review and adopt the most successful targeting strategies practiced by other utilities in California.⁷

⁶ See Comments of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates Seeking Comments on the Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, pp. 1-2, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

⁷ For example, Southern California Edison is planning a “multidimensional internal analysis of its customer base using billing, usage, payment, and housing data from its entire residential customer based, combined with income

3. Should the LIEE program target households in specific geographic areas (remote areas, densely populated areas, hot climate areas)? If so, what strategies can be employed to target such areas?

As stated *infra* in response to Question 2, Greenlining submits that each utility should adapt its LIEE marketing, education, and outreach programs to target the low-income ratepayers within the utility's service territory. Rather than target customers by ethnic or geographic location, the utilities ought to design their marketing, education, and outreach programs to reach the nonparticipating LIEE eligible households in their service territories.

4. How can the LIEE application process be simplified to reduce customer confusion during the application process and to reduce the waiting period for LIEE installations?

Greenlining recommends that utilities ensure all low-income energy efficiency initiatives, including the simplification of the LIEE application processes, meet the underlying goal of providing easy and ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers.

Greenlining submits that documentation requirements present daunting obstacles to LIEE program participation for many low-income and minority Californians. Therefore, to increase LIEE program participation, all LIEE application forms must be written in simple non-technical language, published in-language, articulate the basic terms of LIEE program participation, and be capable of being completed in a short time frame.

Second, to simplify the LIEE application process and reduce customer confusion during the application process, Greenlining recommends that all utilities review and adopt the most successful marketing, outreach, and education strategies practiced by other utilities in California.⁸

eligibility, demographic, and climate data, from other existing data sources, for the purpose of identifying qualified households with the greatest energy burden and energy insecurity.” SDG&E and SoCalGas (1) use data on customer energy usage and climate zones to target the customers they believe will most benefit from participation in LIEE programs and (2) use demographic data to locate low-income areas where high energy usage is prevalent and conduct door-to-door canvassing in these areas.

⁸ For example: SCE's current application process is completed by the ratepayer with the assistance of an SCE contractor. This approach has the potential to minimize customer confusion and reduce the number of incomplete

With respect to the comments of Sierra Pacific Power Company (“Sierra Pacific”), Greenlining respectfully notes that even if Sierra Pacific’s LIEE application process is, “very straightforward,” it is not necessarily as easily and readily accessible as it could be.⁹ Greenlining believes that until Sierra Pacific can show 100% participation in its LIEE programs by all eligible ratepayers in their geographic area, Sierra Pacific too can improve their application process.

5. How can the LIEE program be modified to reduce the stigma some customers believe is associated with the program? Can documentation requirements be reduced?

Greenlining submits that to reduce the stigma associated with the LIEE programs, two fundamental changes must occur. First, the Commission and all utilities must acknowledge that many low-income and minority ratepayers face economic based stigmatization every day.

Second, Greenlining submits that as long as the Commission and the utilities view Energy Efficiency and Low Income Energy Efficiency as *separate* programs with *separate* goals, there will always be a stigma associated with the LIEE program. For example, although San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”) and Southern California Gas Company (“SoCalGas”) engage in joint marketing for LIEE and CARE programs, they do not engage in joint marketing for LIEE and Energy Efficiency programs because “LIEE customers often cannot afford the measures/appliances where rebates are offered.”¹⁰ The assumption that low-income Californians cannot afford energy efficiency technologies and should not given the opportunity to decide if they can afford such technologies, is a prime example of economic based stigmatization.

applications that require pre-approval follow up. PG&E does not require the customer fill out any paperwork. Rather, the paperwork is completed by a PG&E contractor during a home visit with the customer’s assistance.

⁹ See Comments of Sierra Pacific Power Company (U-903 E) on the Issues Raised in the Final KEMA Report Phase 2 Low Income Assessment, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

¹⁰ See Joint Comments of San Diego Gas and Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company on the Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Seeking Comments on Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 20, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

Greenlining believes that to maximize the potential benefits of energy efficiency, this stigmatization must end. To end this stigmatization, California's low-income and minority communities must not only participate in, but be a critical element of the Commission's overall energy efficiency programs. Greenlining submits that the process of developing the Commission's overall plan for energy efficiency cannot effectively occur without full inclusion of low-income and minority ratepayers. Moreover, Greenlining submits that it is imperative for the Commission to include in the energy efficiency program specific initiatives with measurable goals aimed at increasing knowledge of and participation in *all* energy efficiency programs by low-income and minority California ratepayers. If such inclusion and measurable goals are not integrated into the planning processes, Greenlining fears that the Commission's energy efficiency programs will ultimately fail to effectively respond to the needs of all Californians.

6. How can education and training on the use of programmable thermostats be improved to assure more effective use of these technologies? Are there similar/other educational measures that need to be improved?

Greenlining recommends that the utilities center all low-income energy efficiency initiatives, including education and training on the use of energy efficient technologies, around the goal of providing easy and ready access to these technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers.

Specifically, Greenlining recommends that the utilities can improve their education and training on the use of programmable thermostats, as well as all energy efficiency technologies through partnerships with local CBOs and FBOs.¹¹

Second, Greenlining concurs with DRA that the education and training program must inform households of the potential economic benefits that come with proper usage of efficiency energy technologies.¹²

¹¹ See *infra* Greenlining's response to Question 8 "How can the utilities redesign their programs to assure that individual households do not receive measures that are not needed and do receive those that are?"

7. How can the utilities coordinate their low-income programs with those of other regulated utilities and municipal utilities statewide?

Greenlining submits that coordination between utilities statewide is fundamental to increasing participation in LIEE programs. Therefore, Greenlining recommends that the utilities develop low-income energy efficiency initiatives, including intra-utility coordination of LIEE programs, to meet the goal of providing easy and ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers. Additionally, to increase coordination, Greenlining recommends that all utilities review and adopt the coordination best practices already underway around California.¹³

8. How can the utilities redesign their programs to assure that individual households do not receive measures that are not needed and do receive those that are?

First, Greenling firmly believes that the focus of all LIEE marketing, outreach, and education efforts should be on assuring that all eligible households receive energy efficiency measures. Thus, Greenlining strongly recommends that the Commission disregard SDG&E's statement that "reducing documentation any further may lead to an unacceptable level of participation by costumers who exceed the LIEE program's income limits."¹⁴

Second, Greenlining recommends that the utilities concentrate all low-income energy efficiency initiatives, including the redesign of energy efficiency programs, with the goal of providing easy and

¹² See Comments of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates Seeking Comments on the Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 9, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

¹³ For example: SCE coordinates services with other regulated gas utilities including SoCalGas. During the outreach phase for either utility, the contractor will enroll the customer for bus SCE and SoCalGas' LIEE programs in order to provide the customer with access to all feasible gas and electric measures. Southwest Gas Corporation ("Southwest") coordinates its LIEE program with the electric utilities in all of its service areas. SDG&E and SoCalGas use common contractors to install LIEE technologies in overlapping service areas, have data sharing agreements enabling increased outreach to LIEE eligible households, and engage in joint LIEE planning discussions.

¹⁴ See Joint Comments of San Diego Gas and Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company on the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Seeking Comments on Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 17, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers. For specific recommendations as to the redesign, please see Greenlining’s response to Question 1, *infra*.

9. How can the utilities reduce the cost of locating eligible customers generally, and in particular, in neighborhoods that are remote or not predominantly low-income? What are the prospects for joint marketing with other energy efficiency programs and California Alternative Rates for Energy?

Greenlining recommends that the utilities undertake all low-income energy efficiency initiatives, including the identification and location of eligible ratepayers, with the goal of providing easy and ready access to energy efficiency technologies for low-income and minority ratepayers. In practical terms, that means the utilities must go to the ratepayers. Throughout California, CBOs and FBOs represent and serve the diverse needs of low-income and minority customers. Greenlining therefore recommends that the utilities partner with these organizations to locate potential LIEE ratepayers.

Second, Greenlining submits that developing joint marketing efforts with other energy efficiency programs is *fundamental* to increasing participation in LIEE programs. To increase coordination, Greenlining recommends that all utilities review and adopt the coordination best practices already underway throughout California.

10. What other information or recommendations in the KEMA report provide insights about whether and how the utilities could improve LIEE programs?

Greenlining recommends the Commission disregard KEMA’s recommendation that LIEE programs only target dwellings built between 1970 and 1994. As SCE states, the effect would be to “instantly eliminate half of all low-income households in the state from receiving comprehensive [energy efficiency] services.”¹⁵ If the utilities and Commission are going to reach all LIEE eligible customers, Greenlining recommends that the number of eligible households statewide be increased, not decreased.

¹⁵ See Southern California Edison Company’s (U 338-E) Comments on the Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Seeking Comments on Issues Raised in the KEMA Report and on Natural Gas Appliance Testing Issues, p. 5, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010.

III. CONCLUSION: ENVIRONMENTAL FAIR PLAY MUST BE THE SIGNPOST WHICH GUIDES ALL COMMISSION LOW-INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES

“Without deviation from the norm, progress is not possible.”

Greenlining submits that in restructuring and reprioritizing its low income energy efficiency programs, the Commission has a *historic* opportunity to address a fatal flaw in the environmental movement as a whole. Greenlining submits that the environmental movement has, for far too long, pointedly avoided addressing the desperate need for economic development in low-income and minority communities. As Orson Aguilar, East Los Angeles native and the son of Guatemalan immigrant states,

“For communities like mine, environmentalism has seemed to be about preserving places most of us will never see. Even when environmentalism has focused on problems that affect urban communities, such as air pollution or lead poisoning, it has pointedly avoided addressing my community's desperate need for economic development. Environmentalists do not talk about the importance of a living wage or affordable housing because, we are told, those are not environmental problems.”¹⁶

Greenlining believes that redesigning the LIEE programs to parallel Greenlining’s recommendations, the Commission will become the leader in environmental fair play. Specifically, the Commission has the opportunity to merge the two goals of environmental stewardship and community development, as well tap into the “significant untapped potential for low-income energy efficiency programs.”¹⁷

¹⁶ See Why I Am Not an Environmentalist at <http://www.alternet.org/story/22002/?page=1>.

¹⁷ See Joint Opening Comments of the Association of California Community and Energy Services and A W.I.S.H. on Natural Gas Testing Issues and Issues Raised in the KEMA Report, p. 1, 7, R. 07-01-042 / A. 07-05-010 (citing KEMA Report pp. 5-69).

Dated: October 26, 2007

Respectfully submitted:

/s/ Thalia N.C. Gonzalez
Thalia N.C. Gonzalez
The Greenlining Institute

/s/ Jesse Raskin
Jesse Raskin
The Greenlining Institute

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs of California's Energy Utilities.

R.07-01-042
(January 25, 2007)

Southern California Edison Company's (U 338-E) Application for Approval of SCE's "Change A Light, Change The World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program.

A.07-05-010
(Filed May 10, 2007)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thalia N.C. Gonzalez, am 18 years of age or older and a non-party to the within proceeding. I am a resident and citizen of the State of California with the business address at the Greenlining Institute of 1918 University Avenue, Second Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704 and telephone number of 510-926-4002.

On October 26, 2007, I caused the following document:

GREENLINING'S AMENDED COMMENTS ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S SEPTEMBER 27, 2007, RULING SEEKING COMMENTS ON ISSUES RAISED IN THE KEMA NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT AND ON NATURAL GAS APPLIANCE TESTING ISSUES

to be served upon all interested parties of record in A. 07-05-010 named in the official service list via e-mail to those whose e-mail address is listed in the official service list and via mail to those whose e-mail address is not available.

I certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 26, 2007 at Berkeley, California.

/s/ Thalia N.C. Gonzalez

Thalia N.C. Gonzalez

Service List in R.07-01-042 and A.07-05-010

PARTIES

BOBBI J. STERRETT
SPECIALIST/STATE REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
LAS VEGAS, NV 89150-0002

FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89193

VALERIE J. ONTIVEROZ
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510

ELENA MELLO
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
6100 NEIL ROAD
RENO, NV 89520

MICHAEL R. THORP
ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST FIFTH STREET, 14TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1011

ALEX SOTOMAYOR
MARAVILLE FOUNDATION
5729 UNION PACIFIC AVENUE
LOS ANGELES, CA 90022

RICHARD VILLASENOR
TELACU
12252 MC CANN DRIVE
SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670

MICHAEL MONTOYA
SENIOR ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

STACIE SCHAFFER
ATTORNEY AT LAW
VALLEY ELECTRIC
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 390
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

RONALD MOORE
GOLDEN STATE WATER/BEAR
630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773

DON WOOD SR.
PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY CENTER
4539 LEE AVENUE
ELECTRIC/SOCAL GAS
LA MESA, CA 91941

GEORGETTA J. BAKER
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SAN DIEGO GAS &
101 ASH STREET, HQ 13

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

KIM F. HASSAN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
32 D
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

JOY C. YAMAGATA
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP

TIMOTHY J. LAWLER
SUNDOWNER INSULATION CO., INC.
1495 ROAD AVENUE
CLOVIS, CA 93612

PAUL KERKORIAN
UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT, LLC
6475 N PALM AVE., STE. 105
FRESNO, CA 93704

JERRY H. HANN
PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT, A.P.C.
2222 W. SHAW AVENUE, SUITE 202
FRESNO, CA 93711

JERRY H. MANN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT
2222 W. SHAW AVE., SUITE 202
FRESNO, CA 93711

GREGORY REDICAN
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
350
SAN CARLOS, CA 94070

HAYLEY GOODSON
ATTORNEY AT LAW
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

RASHID A. RASHID
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
COMPANY
ROOM 4107
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

CRAIG M. BUCHSBAUM
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

LUKE TOUGAS
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B9A

EDWARD G. POOLE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ANDERSON & POOLE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
1300

2818

601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-

ENRIQUE GALLARDO
LATINO ISSUES FORUM
160 PINE STREET, SUITE 700
COMM. SVCS.
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

IRENE K. MOOSEN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING

53 SANTA YNEZ AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112

CHONDA J. NWAMU
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMPANY
POST OFFICE BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120
7442

PETER OUBORG
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

PO BOX 7442, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-

JOSEPHINE WU
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
AFFAIRS
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

JOHN DUTCHER
VICE PRESIDENT - REGULATORY

MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD, CA 94534-7875

ROBERT GNAIZDA
POLICY DIRECTOR/GENERAL COUNSEL
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
FLR.
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704

THALIA N.C. GONZALEZ
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND

BERKELEY, CA 94704

MARY - LEE KIMBER
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, 3RD FLOOR
FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204

MELISSA W. KASNITZ
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD

BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204

SUSAN E. BROWN
A WORLD INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE
COMPANY
PO BOX 428
MILL VALLEY, CA 94942

MICHAEL LAMOND
ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING
PO BOX 550
15 ST. ANDREWS ROAD, SUITE 7
VALLEY SPRINGS, CA 95252

BILL JULIAN
43556 ALMOND LANE
DAVIS, CA 95618

CAROLYN COX
GENERAL MANAGER
5213 ROSEANA COURT
FAIR OAKS, CA 95628

WILLIAM W. WESTERFIELD, 111
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.
2015 H STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

JAMES HODGES
ACCES
1069 45TH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95819

RAYMOND J. CZAHAR, C.P.A.
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
WEST COAST GAS COMPANY
9203 BEATTY DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95826

CRISTAL BEDORTHA
RESIDENTIAL WALL INSULATION
3714 NELSON AVE.
OROVILLE, CA 95965

MICHELLE MISHOE
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET
18TH FLOOR
PORTLAND, OR 97232

RYAN FLYNN
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET,
PORTLAND, OR 97232

Information Only

RICHARD ESTEVES
SESCO, INC.
77 YACHT CLUB DRIVE, SUITE 1000

DON STONEBERGER
APS ENERGY SERVICES
SUITE 750

LAKE HOPATCONG, NJ 07849-1313

400 E. VAN BUREN STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85004

TREVOR DILLARD
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
PO BOX 10100
COMPANY
6100 NEIL ROAD, MS S4A50
RENO, NV 89520

STEVEN D. PATRICK
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS
555 WEST FIFTH STREET GT14E7
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1011

SENATOR RICHARD POLANCO
3701 GLENALBYN DRIVE
LOS ANGELES, CA 90065

GREGORY J. KOSIER
PORTFOLIO MANAGER
CONSTELLATION NEWENRGY, INC.
350 SOUTH GRND AVENUE, 38TH

FLOOR

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

MICHAEL MAZUR
CHIEF TECHNICAL OFFICER
INC.
3 PHASES RENEWABLES, LLC
2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD., SUITE 37
MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

RON GARCIA
RELIABLE ENERGY MANAGEMENT,
6250 PARAMOUNT BLVD.
LONG BEACH, CA 90805

LUIS CHAVEZ
1859 BUSINESS CENTER DRIVE
DUARTE, CA 91010

MONTE WINEGAR
PROJECT DIRECTOR
WINEGARD ENERGY
1818 FLOWER AVENUE
DUARTE, CA 91010

KYLE MAETANI
MK PLANNING CONSULTANTS
(A.U.N.)
2740 W. MAGNOLIA BLVD., STE 103
BURBANK, CA 91505

PAUL DELANEY
AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK
10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE
ALTA LOMA, CA 91737

ALLAN RAGO

AKBAR JAZAYEIRI

QUALITY CONSERVATION SERVICES, INC.
COMPANY
SUITE E
4701 ARROW HIGHWAY
MONTCLAIR, CA 91763

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2241 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LAW DEPARTMENT
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JACK F. PARKHILL
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JEANNIE HARRELL
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JOHN FASANA
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JOHN FASANA
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JOHN NALL
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

LARRY R. COPE
ATTORNEY AT LAW
COMPANY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

PETE ZANZOT
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

ROBERTO DEL REAL
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

MARK MCNULTY
5150 RANDLETT DRIVE
LA MESA, CA 91941

GREG BASS

MICHAEL SHAMES

SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS
101 ASH STREET. HQ09
NETWORK
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017

ATTORNEY AT LAW
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION

3100 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE B
SAN DIEGO, CA 92103

JOHN JENSEN
REGIONAL MANAGER
COMPANY
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
7847 CONVOY COURT , SUITE 102
SAN DIEGO, CA 92111

YOLE WHITING
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

8335 CENTURY PARK COURT
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

CENTRAL FILES
REGULATORY AFFAIRS
REGULATORY ACCOUNTS
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT-CP31E
CP32C
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530

STEVE RAHON
DIRECTOR, TARIFF &

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

8330 CENTURY PARK COURT,

SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1548

BILL BELANSKY
WESTERN INSULATION
16465 VIA ESPRILLO
SAN DIEGO, CA 92127

TOM HAMILTON
ENERGY PROGRAM MANAGER
QUALITY BUILT
15330 AVENUE OF SCIENCE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92128

FRED PATZKE
13576 CALIFORNIA STREET
YUCAIPA, CA 92399-5449

JOHN NEWCOMB
696 SOUTH TIPPECANOE AVENUE
SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415

MARIA Y. JUAREZ
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
INC
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY ACTION
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
2038 IOWA AVENUE, SUITE B-102
RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

DAVID J. COYLE
ANZA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE,

58470 HIGHWAY 371
ANZA, CA 92539-1909

ROB GUNNIN
VICE PRESIDENT SUPPLY
COMMERCE ENERGY, INC.
600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 2000
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

RICHARD SHAW
PRESIDENT
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FORUM
PO BOX 469
FILLMORE, CA 93016

JIM MCNAMARA
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, INC.
1030 SOUTHWOOD DRIVE
ASSOCIATES, INC.
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401

ART BRICE
VICE PRESIDENT
RICHARD HEATH AND
590 W. LOCUST AVE., STE. 103
FRESNO, CA 93650

JOE WILLIAMS
CEO
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, STE 103
FRESNO, CA 93650

KRISTINE LUCERO
EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT
RICHARD HEATH AND
590 W. LOCUST AVE., STE. 103
FRESNO, CA 93650

MARK SHIRIN
VENTURA TV APPLIANCE CENTER
3619 E VENTURA BLVD
FRESNO, CA 93702-5009

BEN CARROLL
2615 W DUDLEY AVE.
FRESNO, CA 93728

JOSEPH AMADER
7620 CARMEL VALLEY RD.
CARMEL, CA 93923

ORTENSIA LOPEZ
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
EL CONCILIO OF SAN MATEO
1419 BURLINGAME AVE., SUITE
BURLINGAME, CA 94010

N

WILLIAM F. PARKER
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO
COMPANY
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
2040

BRUCE FOSTER
VICE PRESIDENT
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE.

SAN CARLOS, CA 94070

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

REGINA COSTA
RESEARCH DIRECTOR
COMMISSION
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
COMPLIANCE BRAN
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

DONNA L. WAGONER
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
UTILITY AUDIT, FINANCE &
AREA 3-C
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

3214

BRETT SEARLE
PROJECT MANAGEMENT ANALYST
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMPANY
123 MISSION-ROOM 1464, MCH14F
B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

DANIEL COOLEY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
77 BEALE STREET, MAIL CODE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

LINDA FONTES
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMPANY
123 MISSION ROOM 1404 MC H14F
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

STEVEN R. HAERTLE
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
77 BEALE STREET, MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

TINA NGUYEN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
RELATIONS
123 MISSION-ROOM 1456
COMPANY
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

BRIAN K. CHERRY
DIRECTOR REGULATORY
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
77 BEALE STREET, B10C
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94106

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI
ATTORNEY AT LAW
FOLGER, LEVIN & KAHN, LLP
LAMPREY LLP
275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR
900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

JOSEPH F. WIEDMAN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY &
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

LAW DEPARTMENT FILE ROOM
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMPANY
PO BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-7442

JAY LUBOFF
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
PO BOX 770000, MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

MARY O'DRAIN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
EFFICIENCY
123 MISSION - ROOM 1410, MC H14G
COMPANY
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

ROLAND RISSE
DIRECTOR, CUSTOMER ENERGY
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC
MAIL CODE N6G
PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

JAMES DEZELL
RHA, INC.
SUITE 205
1151 HARBOR BAY PKWY
330
ALAMEDA, CA 94502

KEVIN CUDD
SENIOR PROGRAM MANAGER
PG&E
1320 EL CAPITAN DRIVE, SUITE
DANVILLE, CA 94526

DUTCHER JOHN
V.P. REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD, CA 94534

DAVID C. CLARK
SYNERGY COMPANIES
28436 SATELLITE STREET
HAYWARD, CA 94545

STEVEN R. SHALLENBERGER
SYNERGY COMPANIES
28436 SATELLITE STREET
HAYWARD, CA 94545

RICK C. NOGER
PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC.
2678 BISHOP DRIVE
SAN RAMON, CA 94583

LADONNA WILLIAMS
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
PO BOX 5653
VALLEJO, CA 94591

JODY S. LONDON
JODY LONDON CONSULTING
PO BOX 3629
OAKLAND, CA 94609

BOBAK ROSHAN
LEGAL ASSOCIATE
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY STREET, 2ND FLOOR
BERKELEY, CA 94704

CISCO DEVRIES
CHIEF OF STAFF
CITY OF BERKELEY
OFFICE OF MAYOR TOM BATES
2180 MILVIA STREET
BERKELEY, CA 94704

MARK A. RUTLEDGE
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLR.
BERKELEY, CA 94704
FLOOR

STEPHANIE CHEN
LEGAL ASSOCIATE
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY STREET, 2ND
BERKELEY, CA 94704

KAREN NOTSUND
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
UC ENERGY INSTITUTE
2547 CHANNING WAY 5180
BERKELEY, CA 94720-5180

PETER HOFMANN
BO ENTERPRISES
43B EAST MAIN ST
LOS GATOS, CA 95030-6907

DOUGLAS MOIR
WESTERN APPLIANCE
COMPANY
1976 W. SAN CARLOS STREET
MC H14G
SAN JOSE, CA 95128

FRANCES L. THOMPSON
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
123 MISSION STREET, RM. 1408
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 95177

ELISE KLEIBER
2400 ROCKEFELLER DR.
CERES, CA 95307

CHRISTOPHER J. MAYER
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
PO BOX 4060
MODESTO, CA 95352-4060

BOB HONDEVILLE
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH STREET
MODESTO, CA 95354

JOY WARREN
SENIOR STAFF ATTORNEY
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 ELEVENTH STREET

MODESTO, CA 95354

RICHARD MCCANN
M.CUBED
COMPANY
2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, SUITE 3
106-292
DAVIS, CA 95616

DAVID MORSE
CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
1411 W. COVELL BLVD., STE.
DAVIS, CA 95616-5934

JOHN JENSEN
PRESIDENT
ENERGY&ENVIRON PROGRAMS
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
& DEVELOPMEN
PO BOX 205
258
KIRKWOOD, CA 95646

JASON WIMBLEY
DIVISION CHIEF,
DEPT. OF COMMUNITY SERVICES
700 NORTH 10TH STREET, ROOM
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

ROBERT J. BICKER
LEGISLATIVE ANALYST
CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION
980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 200
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

RYAN BERNARDO
BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

SHEILA DEY
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMMUNITIES
WESTERN REGIO
455 CAPITOL MALL STE 800
CO.
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

DAVE STEPHENSON
RATE REGULATION MANAGER -
AMERICAN WATER WORKS SERVICE
4701 BELOIT DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95838

JAMES O'BANNON
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
1026 MANGROVE AVE., STE 20
ASSOCIATES, INC.
CHICO, CA 95926
20

PAMELA GORSUCH
PROJECT MANAGER
RICHARD HEATH AND
1026 MANGROVE AVENUE, SUITE
CHICO, CA 95926

SCOTT BERG
SELF HELP HOME IMPROVEMENT PROJECT INC.
3777 MEADOWVIEW DR., 100
REDDING, CA 96002

ROBERT MARSHALL
PLUMAS SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC
PO BOX 2000
PORTOLA, CA 96122-2000

M. SAMI KHAWAJA, PH.D
QUANTEC, LLC
SUITE 400
720 SW WASHINGTON STREET
SUITE 2000
PORTLAND, OR 97205

CATHIE ALLEN
CA STATE MGR.
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET,
PORTLAND, OR 97232

MARISA DECRISTOFORO
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 800
PORTLAND, OR 97232

DAVE SULLIVAN P.E.
CONSULTING ENGINEER
614 38TH PLACE
FLORENCE, OR 97439-8216

State Service

ALIK LEE
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
TELECOMMUNICATIONS & CONSUMER ISSUES BRA
ROOM 4101
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

HAZLYN FORTUNE
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
ROOM 5303
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

JEANNINE ELZEY
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

JOHANNA M. SEVIER
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

KELLY HYMES
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
LAW JUDGES
ROOM 5306
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

KIM MALCOLM
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE
ROOM 5005
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

MARIANA C. CAMPBELL
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
TELECOMMUNICATIONS & CONSUMER ISSUES BRA
ROOM 4101
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

MELICIA CHARLES
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

MICHAEL BLACK
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
PRICING BRANCH
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

RISA HERNANDEZ
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
ELECTRICITY RESOURCES &
ROOM 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

ROBERT KINOSIAN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
CONSUMER ISSUES BRA
ROOM 5202
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

ROBERT LEHMAN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
TELECOMMUNICATIONS &
ROOM 4102
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

SARITA SARVATE
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

SARVJIT S. RANDHAWA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

SEAN WILSON
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
UTILITY AUDIT, FINANCE & COMPLIANCE BRAN
AREA 3-C
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
3214

TERRIE J. TANNEHILL
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-

THOMAS M. RENAGHAN
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

ZAIDA AMAYA-PINEDA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
ENERGY DIVISION
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

